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Functional Correlations between Neighboring Neurons in the Primate Globus Pallidus Are Weak or Nonexistent
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The anatomical structure of the basal ganglia displays topographical organization and massive funneling of neuronal projections toward the globus pallidus as well as an axonal collateral system within this nucleus. This structure suggests the formation of correlations between the spiking activities of pallidal cells. Nevertheless, previous studies of remote neurons in the pallidum have reported uncorrelated spiking activity. These correlation results may be challenged, because remote pallidal neurons may be located in different pallidal territories. To further test the independence of pallidal activity, we studied the spiking activity of neighboring pairs recorded by the same electrodes. A narrow peak dominated the correlations of all pairs of neurons recorded on the same electrode. This type of peak is classically interpreted as a sign of strong common input. However, recent mathematical analysis shows that such peaks may derive from a technical inability to detect overlapping spikes by spike-sorting techniques. A long-term shallow trough in the correlation of neighboring neurons may also result from the same effect, which we have termed the “shadowing effect.” A comparison of the expected shadowing effect with the actual correlations suggests that no real correlations exist between 93.9% of neighboring pallidal pairs. The remaining 6.1% of the pairs display symmetric long-term positive correlations centered on time 0. Thus, functional interactions between neighboring pallidal neurons do not display any significant differences from the interactions between physically remote neurons in this brain area. Moreover, the combination of anatomical data and current physiological results suggests an active decorrelating process performed in the basal ganglia.
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Introduction

The importance of the globus pallidus in both normal function and neurological diseases such as Parkinson’s disease has sparked interest in the physiology of this compact structure (Wichmann and DeLong, 1996). The pallidum is one of the nuclei of the basal ganglia and is divided into two parts: the external (GPe) and internal (GPi) segments. Both segments receive their input primarily from the striatum and the subthalamic nucleus and act as major internal (GPe) or output (GPi) stages of the basal ganglia network (Gerfen and Wilson, 1996; Bolam et al., 2000). The pallidum is a relatively small structure, with several orders of magnitude fewer neurons than its input (Percheron et al., 1994) and output (Haber and McFarland, 2001) structures.

The nature of information processing within the basal ganglia is still a matter of debate (Percheron and Filion, 1991; Middleton and Strick, 2000). One view claims that the pallidal neurons receive converging input and therefore share incoming information (Percheron et al., 1994). Another holds that the basal ganglia neurons are divided into segregated parallel pathways (Alexander et al., 1986; Middleton and Strick, 2000). However, although these views present very different relationships between remote neurons in the pallidum, they both agree that there is a drastic decrease in the number of neurons along the corticostriatopallidal pathway. Both hypotheses argue that this convergence causes neighboring neurons to share the same input. This common input should in turn lead to positive state-driven correlations in these neighboring neurons (Perkel et al., 1967).

The main type of neurons in the pallidum is inhibitory, and these neurons have, in addition to their projection to other brain areas, axonal collaterals within the pallidum (Yelnik et al., 1984, 1997; Kita and Kitai, 1994; Bevan et al., 1998; Sato et al., 2000; Parent et al., 2001). Such inhibitory collaterals are expected to generate negative correlations in the spiking activity of neighboring neurons. One of the predominant models of the basal ganglia is the action selection model (Mink, 1996; Berns and Sejnowski, 1997; Kita and Kitai, 1994; Bevan et al., 1998; Sato et al., 2000; Parent et al., 2001). The action selection model also predicts negative correlations between neurons that arise from competitive inhibition and a selection of a single state.

Our previous studies of simultaneously recorded cells indicated that, in the normal monkey, the activity of pallidal neurons is uncorrelated (Nini et al., 1995; Heimer et al., 2002). These results were presented as supporting the hypothesis of the parallel (vs funneling) organization of the basal ganglia circuitry (Bergman et al., 1998). However, they were limited to remote (500–3000 μm) neurons recorded by different electrodes. The somatotopic organization of basal ganglia neurons (DeLong et al., 1985) suggests that the activity of physically and functionally close units may still be corre-
lateral, although the activity of remote units remains uncorrelated. This type of difference in interactions between neighboring and remote neurons has been reported in the cortex (Novak et al., 1999). To enhance our understanding of synchrony within the pallidum, we studied the cross-correlograms of neighboring neurons recorded by the same electrode for prolonged periods in the primate. The mathematical basis for the analysis of the data has been reported previously (Bar-Gad et al., 2001a, b).

Materials and Methods

Physiological experiments. Two vervet monkeys (monkeys Cu and S; Cercopithecus aethiops aethiops; both female; weight, 3–3.5 kg) and two cynomolgus monkeys (monkeys Cl and Y; Macaca fascicularis; male and female; weight, 2.5–5 kg) were trained to perform a visuomotor task. After training, a Cilux recording chamber was attached to the skull to allow recording of the activity of pallidal neurons. Details of the task, surgery, and data-recording methods are similar to those given previously (Nini et al., 1995; Heimer et al., 2002). The care of the monkeys and surgical procedures were in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (1996) and with the Hebrew University guidelines for the use and care of laboratory animals in research, and were supervised by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Recording and spike sorting. The electrode output was sorted and classified in real time by a template-matching algorithm (MSD 3.21; Alpha-Omega Engineering, Nazareth, Israel). In addition, the electrode output was also bandpass filtered (monkey Cu, 300–6000 Hz; monkeys S, Cl, and Y, 1–6000 Hz; four pole Butterworth filter; MCP-plus 2.8) and continuously sampled at 24 kHz (AlphaMap 5.0). The continuous sampling of the electrode output was also subjected to an off-line spike-sorting procedure (AlphaSort 3.8) based on principal-component analysis of the spike patterns (Abeles and Goldstein, 1977) (Fig. 1). We applied two sets of principal components (PCs), a default based on cortical recordings (Abeles and Goldstein, 1977) and a set of PCs that were created by a library of 131 waveforms of well isolated pallidal spikes recorded by the same setup. Off-line sorting was performed using both cortical and pallidal PCs, and the best result was taken for each pair. The sorting was verified by the existence of a refractory period in the interspike interval histogram and by the stability of the firing rate of the cells. In the stability analysis, the instantaneous firing rate of the neurons as a function of time was displayed for the entire period of recording, and the largest segment of stable data was selected for additional analysis. All additional analyses of spike timing were conducted using 1 msec bins.

Data analysis. Cross-correlograms were calculated for all neuron pairs in cases in which both cells satisfied firing rate stability and displayed a clear refractory period. Only pairs that satisfied the inclusion criteria for at least 600 sec were included in the analysis. Autocorrelation and cross-correlation functions were normalized to firing rate (Nini et al., 1995; Heimer et al., 2002), and no smoothing was applied. A reconstruction of the theoretical cross-correlogram from the recorded autocorrelation functions was performed to differentiate the part in the cross-correlation function resulting from the shadowing effect from the real functional correlations. Cross-correlation reconstruction from the two autocorrelation functions was performed by using the calculated shadowing factor (see below). The autocorrelation functions themselves change in two basic ways because of the shadowing effect: the overall magnitude (mean rate) decreases, because some spikes are not identified, and the specific shape of the autocorrelation function is distorted. Mathematical analysis of the effect indicated that the change in magnitude is significant, whereas the distortion of the specific shape is very small except for extreme cases (Bar-Gad et al., 2001b). Therefore, the autocorrelation functions were compensated (using the same shadowing factor) only for their magnitude. The firing probabilities were calculated from the spike sequences after compensating for the shadowing effect. Full details regarding the reconstruction method have been described previously (Bar-Gad et al., 2001b). The SD of the reconstructed correlation was calculated using the tails (±4000–5000 msec offset) of the correlation, and the confidence limits were situated at p < 0.01.

Results

Autocorrelations and cross-correlations of pallidal neurons

Autocorrelograms and cross-correlograms were calculated for 33 neuronal pairs recorded from the same electrode (resulting from 27 cases of two cells and two cases of three cells). The pairs fulfilled the inclusion criteria (rate stability and refractory period) for 610–5390 sec (mean ± SD, 1785 ± 1137 sec), and the 60 neurons fired 6531–361,280 spikes (mean ± SD, 79,106 ± 65,223 spikes) during that period. Despite the existence of at least two types of neurons in the pallidum (Percheron et al., 1984; Kita and Kitai, 1994; Sato et al., 2000), all of the recordings were presumed to derive from the high-frequency discharge projection neurons on the basis of their irregular firing pattern, nonbursting discharge, and short-duration action potential (DeLong et al., 1985). In most cases (23 of 33), it was not possible to associate with full confidence one or both members of the pair with the internal or external segments of the pallidum. All of the remaining cases (10 of 33) were identified as belonging to the GPe according to structures identified on the trajectory and firing patterns of the electrode, which included pro-

Figure 1. Spike sorting of spikes from two neurons recorded on a single electrode. An example of off-line analysis of two cells recorded on the same electrode (990513s2e2) is shown. In the single spike examples (bottom), the actual spike shapes are depicted by the colors of the clusters, and principal-component reconstructions are plotted in black. The unidentified signal, composed of a green spike immediately followed (and distorted) by a purple spike, is shown in blue. In addition, the spike shapes of all of the spikes within the polygons are plotted (right).
longed pauses. However, no difference was detected between the correlations of the two groups, and they were pooled in the analyses below. In addition, no differences were found between the correlations of pairs recorded from the vervet monkeys and the pairs recorded from the cynomolgus monkeys, so they too were pooled in the analyses. Finally, the correlations during intertrial intervals were calculated for some of the pairs to rule out any effect attributable to the inherent lack of needed information. Rather, the nature of the original correlations must be estimated using the recorded spike sequences and an estimation of the shadowing factor. The shadowing factor will also reflect the probability of spike misidentification, which is directly related to the probability of firing at different time offsets as expressed by the autocorrelation functions. The complete mathematical formulation has been given previously (Bar-Gad et al., 2001b).

The shape of the original cross-correlogram cannot be directly calculated because of the inherent lack of needed information. Rather, the nature of the original correlations must be estimated using the recorded spike sequences and an estimation of the shadowing effect. The magnitude of the complex shadowing effect can be approximated by the shadowing factor S. The shadowing factor is the ratio of missing spikes from the cross-correlogram immediately around time 0 (Fig. 3a). This factor is an estimation of the total effect of a spike occurrence on the identification of spikes from other cells within a small time frame. For example, if a spike causes misidentification of 100% of the spikes occurring within the same millisecond and 50% of the spikes occurring with a 1 msec offset before and after it, the shadowing factor would be 2. The shadowing factor will also be approximated by the shadowing factor S. The shadowing factor is the total fraction of missing spikes from the cross-correlogram immediately around time 0 (Fig. 3a). This factor is an estimation of the total effect of a spike occurrence on the identification of spikes from other cells within a small time frame. For example, if a spike causes misidentification of 100% of the spikes occurring within the same millisecond and 50% of the spikes occurring with a 1 msec offset before and after it, the shadowing factor would be 2. The shadowing factor will also
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The assumption that the original cross-correlogram is flat (i.e., uncorrelated activity) may be verified by performing a shadowing process (i.e., removing temporally close spikes) on synthetic spike trains with an equivalent flat correlation function and comparing the resulting reconstructed function with the recorded cross-correlogram. The reconstructed cross-correlogram may be estimated from the shadowing factor and the autocorrelation functions of the two cells (Bar-Gad et al., 2001b), as follows: 

\[
S_{n,m}(t) = [1 - \frac{1}{S} \times a_n(t)] \times [1 - \frac{1}{S} \times a_m(t)] \times \left\{ \frac{p_n}{1 - p_n \times S} \right\},
\]

where \(S_{n,m}(t)\) is the reconstructed cross-correlation at time \(t\), \(S\) is the shadowing factor, \(a_n(t)\) and \(a_m(t)\) are the compensated autocorrelation functions at time \(t\), and \(p_n\) is the mean firing probability of the reference cell. The reconstructed cross-correlogram (an example is shown on two time scales in Fig. 3c,e) is used to create confidence limits for the recorded cross-correlograms. Most of the cross-correlograms (31 of 33) do not show correlated activity exceeding the confidence limits (\(p < 0.01\)) during offsets of >5 msec (Fig. 3d,f). Only 6.1% of the pairs (2 of 33) show a symmetric, positively correlated activity on the long time scale.

### Discussion

The question of whether the activity of neighboring pallidal neurons is more synchronized than the activity of remote pallidal neurons is of major importance for our understanding of the information processing performed by the basal ganglia. Classical electrophysiological methods enable the extracellular recording of spikes from neighboring neurons by spike sorting of the simultaneous activity of multiple neurons recorded by the same metal electrode (Abeles, 1974; Eggermont, 1990; Lewicki, 1998). The present study presents a comprehensive analysis of 33 pairs of pallidal neurons recorded for prolonged periods by single electrodes and shows that the effects of the methodological limits of spike sorting govern the cross-correlograms of these neurons. Estimation of the artifacts created by the sorting mechanism reveals that the firing patterns of neighboring neurons display the same characteristics as remote neurons within the pallidum, namely uncorrelated firing.

The high firing rate of the pallidal neurons is not common in the brain. This high rate enables identification of some phenomena that are hard to detect in slow firing neurons but also makes it prone to several effects that can distort the perceived firing pattern and correlations of the cells. For example, previous theoretical studies have indicated that the sensitivity of cross-correlation functions to excitation is larger by an order of magnitude than it is for inhibition (Aertsen and Gerstein, 1985). However, this only holds true for brain areas with low firing rates, such as the cortex, whereas the sensitivity of cross-correlograms is symmetrical for excitation and inhibition in areas with high firing rates such as the pallidum. Intracranial studies in the striatum (Jaeger et al., 1994; Czubayko and Plenz, 2002; Tunstall et al., 2002) have revealed weak inhibitory interactions via the GABAergic collaterals of the medium spiny neurons. Equivalent interactions are expected to be significantly reflected in the cross-correlation of the high-frequency-discharge pallidal neurons. However, both the autocorrelation and cross-correlation functions may suffer from severe distortions when calculated for fast-firing neurons. The autocorrelation functions, even for cells with a fixed probability of firing after the refractory period (as indicated by the flat hazard function), may display narrow peaks or even oscillations derived from the interaction between the high firing rate and the refractory period (Bar-Gad et al., 2001a). The cross-correlogram is also significantly affected in cases of interference between multiple (more than two) neurons, which becomes severe in brain areas with high firing rates, because the
chance of coincidence between spikes from different cells rises considerably (Bar-Gad et al., 2001b).

The distance between neurons recorded from the same electrode is typically \( \leq 100 \mu m \) in the cortex (Abeles, 1974). No similar analysis exists for the pallidum, and the distance is assumed to be on the same order of magnitude. The typical size of the dendritic and local axonic trees of pallidal projection neurons is \( \sim 1000 \mu m \) (Yelnik et al., 1984, 1997; Kita and Kitai, 1994; Bevan et al., 1998; Sato et al., 2000; Parent et al., 2001). It is therefore probable that the two pallidal cells recorded by the same electrode have overlapping axonal/dendritic trees. Such overlapping inhibitory trees are expected to force a competition leading to negative correlation (i.e., a trough in the cross-correlogram). In addition, such neighboring neurons are presumed to share many of the inputs from the striatum and other nuclei within the basal ganglia (Percheron et al., 1984). This in turn is expected to lead to positive correlation between the neurons (i.e., a peak in the cross-correlogram).

Our results do not support the funneling hypothesis or the segregated loops hypothesis, because both theories assume that neighboring cells share the same input (except for the extreme case of each neuron forming a separate loop). Similarly, the lack of functional correlation does not fit well with the abundant models characterizing the basal ganglia function as an action selection network (Mink, 1996; Berns and Sejnowski, 1998; Wickens and Oorschot, 2000). Any model that requires strong interactions between neurons and especially neighboring neurons will also predict strong correlations (either negative or positive) between these neurons. The absence of such functional correlations is especially intriguing because of the huge convergence of input into the basal ganglia and the correlations that exist in its input layers (i.e., the cerebral cortex). One possible explanation for the lack of pallidal correlation is that an extreme parallel computation takes place (i.e., neighboring neurons deal with very different input). Alternatively and more plausibly, this lack of correlation suggests that the functionality of the basal ganglia may be associated with decorrelating functions, as are found in other areas of the nervous system (Malt- enfort et al., 1998). These decorrelating functions may play a crucial role in neural computation, such as efficient information compression (Bar-Gad and Bergman, 2001).
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